

**THE CITY OF OKLAHOMA CITY
OFFICE OF
THE MUNICIPAL COUNSELOR**

Council Agenda
Item No. IX. BI
12/6/2022

TO: Mayor and City Council

FROM: Kenneth Jordan
Municipal Counselor

Resolution authorizing the Municipal Counselor to waive service of process and to confess judgment without admission of liability in the amount of \$4,075.97 and all the costs of the action in the Oklahoma County District Court in the claim filed by Miguel Rodriguez. Ward 5.

Claimant's address:
3149 SW 103rd Place
Oklahoma City, OK 73159

This office acknowledges receipt of a claim from the above-referenced claimant, in which the claimant alleges that on July 19, 2022, claimant's vehicle was damaged when it was struck by a City vehicle near the intersection of SW 15th Street and South Agnew Avenue. Claimant alleges damages in the amount of \$4,075.97, the cost of vehicle repair and two weeks rental car expenses. This amount is supported by documentation.

Council previously approved this claim on November 8, 2022, in the amount \$3,738.39, the cost of repairs to claimant's vehicle at the time the claimant filed this claim with the City. Mr. Rodriguez has since amended his claim to include an updated estimate from the same automobile repair shop showing an increase in cost to repair from \$2,900.88 to \$3,240.03, for a total of \$4,075.97.

Section 153(A) of the Governmental Tort Claims Act provides:

- A. The state or a political subdivision shall be liable for loss resulting from its torts or the torts of its employees acting within the scope of their employment subject to the limitations and exceptions specified in this act and only where the state or political subdivision, if a private person or entity, would be liable for money damages under the laws of this state. The state or a political subdivision shall not be liable under the provisions of this act for any act or omission of an employee acting outside the scope of his employment.

51 O.S. 2018 Supp. §153(A).

According to the Oklahoma Supreme Court, a prima facie case of negligence is established by showing the following: "(1) a duty owed by the defendant to protect the plaintiff from injury, (2) a failure to properly exercise or perform that duty and (3) the plaintiff's injuries are proximately

caused by the defendant's failure to exercise his duty of care." *McKellips v. Saint Francis Hospital, Inc.*, 741 P.2d 467, 470 (Okla. 1987) (citations omitted).

Proximate cause has two components - legal causation and cause in fact. *Id.* Cause in fact is determined by the "but for" test: "The defendant's conduct is a cause of the event if the event would not have occurred but for that conduct." *Id.* (citations omitted). "Proximate cause" is also a synonym for "legal cause." BLACK'S LAW DICTIONARY, 804 (6th Ed. 1990). To clarify this issue, the Oklahoma Supreme Court has further defined proximate cause: "The proximate cause of an event must be that which in a natural and continuous sequence, unbroken by an independent cause, produces the event and without which the event would not have occurred." *Gaines v. Providence Apartments*, 750 P.2d 125, 126-27 (Okla. 1987) (citations omitted).

The Supreme Court of Oklahoma has further stated that the driver of a motor vehicle must always use that degree of care which is reasonable and prudent under the circumstances. *Agee v. Gant*, 412 P.2d 155 (Okla. 1966).

This office is in receipt of information from the Oklahoma City Police Department and the Solid Waste Management Division of the Utilities Department regarding this incident. The City vehicle was in the inside lane at the intersection of SW 15th Street and South Agnew Avenue. The City driver did not see any traffic approaching and attempted to make a right turn from the inside lane. The City vehicle then struck the claimant's vehicle that was in the outside lane. This information indicates that the City vehicle caused the collision. This information further indicates no improper action by claimant.

Based on the above information and applicable Oklahoma law, it is the opinion of this office that this claim should be approved, and that the Municipal Counselor should be authorized to Confess Judgment without admission of liability in the amount of \$4,075.97 and all costs of the action in the District Court. If Council agrees, a Resolution to that effect has been prepared.

ZAW