



MEMORANDUM

OCMFA Agenda
Item No. MFA. C
8/27/2024

The City of OKLAHOMA CITY

TO: Chairman and Trustees of the Oklahoma City Municipal Facilities Authority

FROM: Kenneth Jordan, Municipal Counselor, OCMFA

1. Joint Resolution with The City of Oklahoma City authorizing the firm Collins, Zorn, & Wagner, P.C. to represent City employees Kimberly Vance and Mark Fields in the case of *Deonte Martize McKaufman v. Kimberly Vance, et al.*, Oklahoma County District Court Case No. CJ-2024-3278;

AND/OR

2. Enter into executive session on advice of the Municipal Counselor to receive confidential communications from its attorney concerning the above pending litigation, as authorized by 25 O.S. (2023 Supp.) §307(B)(4), because disclosure would seriously impair the ability of the public body to process the claim or conduct a pending investigation, litigation or proceeding in the public interest.

On May 17, 2024, Plaintiff filed his pro se petition titled "No Rebuttal or Timely Response in Regards to this Document and its Information and this Attachment and this Document Stands as Truth and Prima Facie Evidence in Equity" in the District Court of Oklahoma County against City employees Lt. Kimberly Vance and Sgt. Mark Fields, as well as several other state and county officials. Plaintiff makes twenty-nine (29) allegations, most of which is just a cite to a lawsuit or statute, and there are no specific allegations made against any specific defendant. The court's docket sheet notes this as a "Breach of Contract" lawsuit. It is entirely unclear what, if anything, Plaintiff is alleging against Lt. Vance or Sgt. Fields, however there was an incident on November 27, 2023, in which Lt. Vance was the first to arrive on a single car accident scene, and Plaintiff was the driver. Plaintiff was disoriented, and a loaded firearm was found in the vehicle beside Plaintiff, who is a convicted felon. Plaintiff was provided medical evaluation and treatment at the hospital, then arrested for Felon in Possession of a Firearm AFCE (after former conviction of a felony), in violation of 21 O.S. §1272-1289.28, Case No. CF-2023-5574. Sgt. Fields was on scene, and Lt. Vance authorized the state felony charge. One of the criminal district court judges who ordered a competency evaluation of Plaintiff in his felony criminal case, Judge Glidewell, is also a defendant in Plaintiff's lawsuit.

Oklahoma City employees Lt. Kimberly Vance and Sgt. Mark Fields have requested that the firm of Collins, Zorn & Wagner, P.C. represent and defend them in this lawsuit. The facts and documents available at this time indicate the defendant officers were acting in good faith and within the course and scope of their employment during all times of the events set forth in the above-styled lawsuit. It is the recommendation of this office that outside counsel be retained to represent the municipal employees and that this representation be at the expense of the City so

long as such representation seems appropriate to the Mayor and Council subject to the requirements of 51 Okla. Stat. §151, *et seq.*, 11 Okla. Stat. §23-101, *et seq.*, and the applicable case law, because there is a potential of a conflict of interest between the City and its employees if the Plaintiff's allegations are true.

Title 51 Section 162 of the Oklahoma Statutes requires:

A. [A]ny political subdivision, subject to the procedure requirements imposed by this section, other applicable statute, ordinance, resolution or written policy, shall:

1. Provide a defense for any employee . . . when liability is sought for any violation of property rights or any rights, privileges or immunities secured by the constitution or laws of the United States when alleged to have been committed by the employee while acting within the scope of employment;

Title 11, Section 23-101 states:

...if an action is brought against a municipal employee in any civil action or special proceeding in the courts of this state or of the United States by reason of any act done or omitted in good faith in the course of employment, the governing body of the municipality shall direct the municipal attorney or other designated legal counsel to appear and defend the action or proceeding on the behalf of the employee in accordance with the provisions of Section 11-23-102 of this title.

Further, Article 26 of the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the Oklahoma City Police Department and the Fraternal Order of Police, Lodge 123 states:

All police officers who are sued for violation of constitutional rights while acting in the capacity of a police officer in which they are individually named as Defendants and punitive damages are sought shall have the right to representation by outside counsel. The officer shall be represented by private counsel who is approved by FOP Lodge 123 and the City Council as having expertise in the defense of police officers in civil rights actions. The City agrees to pay the reasonable fees and costs for said services as set forth in the contract between the City and outside counsel and pursuant to 11 O.S § 23-101.

It is the recommendation of this office that outside counsel be retained to represent employees Kimberly Vance and Mark Fields, and that this representation be at the expense of the City subject to the requirements of 51 Okla. Stat. §151, *et seq.*, 11 Okla. Stat. §23-101, *et seq.*, and applicable case law. If additional discussion is necessary, it is the recommendation of the Municipal Counselor that the Mayor and Council enter executive session to discuss the ongoing litigation.

Source of Funding: Comprehensive Retainer Agreement between City and CZW

Review:

Municipal Counselor's Office

Recommendation: Joint Resolution be adopted and/or Enter into Executive Session.